## **REMARKS**

## Rejections Under 35 USC 112

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 14, 15, 19-22 and 30 under 35 USC 112 as being indefinite. The Examiner has identified two clerical errors in claim 14 that resulted in this rejection. By this amendment, Applicant has hereby corrected such errors. More specifically, the Applicant has moved recitation of workstations provided on the belt to after introduction of the belt. Further, Applicant has amended the number of workstations to read as "two or more". In view of this amendment, Applicant submits that claim 14 and all claims depending therefrom are allowable under 35 USC 112.

## **Double Patenting Rejections**

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 14, 15, 19-22 and 24-31 as being obvious in view of a combination of Applicant's prior patent, number 6,761,262 and Lebl et al (patent number 6,045,755). It is noted that the present application and patent number 6,761,262 are commonly owned. Applicant submits herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to disclaim any patent term resulting from the present application beyond the term of patent number 6,761,262. In the result, it is submitted that the Examiner's double patenting rejection has been rendered moot.

## Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, early reconsideration an allowance of the present application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated:

\_\_

R. Blake Johnston, Reg. No. 41,097

DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP

2/23/06

P.O. Box 64807

Chicago, IL 60664-0807

(312) 368-4000

Customer No. 28465